



Resources Directorate

Chris West
Executive Director
Council House
Earl Street
Coventry CV1 5RR

Telephone 024 7683 3333
DX 18868 COVENTRY 2

Please contact Usha Patel
Direct line 024 7683 3198
usha.patel@coventry.gov.uk

To the Cabinet Member (Children and Young People)

2 April 2015

Dear Member,

Supplementary Agenda – Meeting of the Cabinet Member (Children and Young People) - Tuesday, 7th April, 2015

The purpose of this letter is to provide you with additional items of public business for consideration at the above meeting.

11. **Coventry Children's Centres - Progress update** (Pages 85 - 94)
Report of the Executive Director of People
12. **Adoption Score Card Report** (Pages 95 - 102)
Report of the Executive Director of People

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me on the telephone number shown above.

Yours sincerely

Usha Patel
Governance Services Officer



This page is intentionally left blank



Public report
Cabinet Member

Cabinet Member for Children and Young People

7 April 2015

Name of Cabinet Member

Cabinet Member for Children and Young People – Councillor Ruane

Director Approving Submission of the report:

Executive Director of People

Ward(s) affected:

All Wards

Services are delivered on a citywide basis

Title: Coventry Children’s Centres -progress update

Is this a key decision?

No

Executive Summary:

The purpose of this report is to inform the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People, on progress, to date, to address actions identified in the Cabinet Member meeting, 2 February 2015, where the current status of Ofsted outcomes for Children’s Centres and progress against actions to address recommendations in order for the Centres to get to “Good” or “Outstanding” was discussed.

In Coventry we have 17 Children’s Centres in total. The Inspection process for Children’s Centres assesses performance and impact against a Statutory Framework of how the needs of children and families are identified and met within a geographical area.

The overall outcome of an Inspection is categorised under the following judgments: Outstanding, Good, Requires Improvement / Satisfactory or Inadequate.

The key judgements are:

- Access to services by young children and families
- The quality and impact of practice and services
- The effectiveness of leadership, governance and management.

The Local Authority aspires for all Children’s Centres to be judged “Good” or “Outstanding” at their next Inspection and as a result, work to achieve this remains a priority. At the meeting of Cabinet Member for Children and Young People on 2 February 2015, the Cabinet Member for

Children and Young People noted the progress made against the recommendations following Children's Centre Ofsted Inspections and requested that officers continue to work to develop the Children's Centre service area in order to move Centres to "Good" or "Outstanding" at future inspections.

In addition, a number of key actions were identified and this report sets out to update on how actions are being progressed.

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for Children and Young People is requested to note the progress made against the recommendations, following Children's Centre Ofsted Inspections and request that Officers continue to work to develop the Children's Centre service area in order to move Centres to "Good" or Outstanding" at future Inspections.

List of Appendices included:

None

Background papers:

None

Other useful documents:

Sure Start Children's Centres Statutory Guidance for local authorities, commissioners of local health services and Job Centre Plus. (DfE April 2013)

Children's Centre Inspection Handbook (Ofsted 2014)

Has it been or will it be considered by Scrutiny?

No

Has it been or will it be considered by any other Council Committee, Advisory Panel or other body?

No

Will this report go to Council?

No

1. Context (or background)

1.1 Statutory Guidance (2013) defines a Children's Centre as: *"A group or a group of places managed by or behalf of local authorities, through which childhood services are made available, at which activities for young children are provided "*. The Core purpose of Children's Centres is to improve outcomes for young children and their families and reduce inequalities between families in greatest need and their peers in:

- Child development and school readiness
- Parenting aspirations and parenting skills
- Child and family health and life chances

1.2 The regulatory function for the inspection of Children's Centres is the responsibility of Ofsted, under Part 3A of the Children's Act 2006. The inspection framework was revised and published in April 2013 and subsequently updated in April 2014. Under this framework an inspection will either be a single Centre or Children's Centre group that offers integrated services and shares leadership and management. The changes to the framework in 2013 also saw the removal of the "Satisfactory" judgement and the introduction of "Requires Improvement"

1.3 Inspections can take place at any time as well as being scheduled using the information held by Ofsted. Children's Centres that are judged as 'requires improvement' will normally be inspected within a maximum period of two years and earlier if required. The Local Authority expects that these Children's Centres will be inspected in 2015.

1.4 The inspections make three key judgements that contribute to an overall judgement of the effectiveness of the centre. The three key judgements are:

- Access to services by young children and families
- The quality and impact of practice and services
- The effectiveness of leadership, governance and management

1.5 When judging the overall effectiveness of the Children's Centre and when making the three key judgements about access to services, the quality and impact of services, and the effectiveness of leadership, governance and management of the Centre, inspectors will use the following scale:

Grade 1: Outstanding

Grade 2: Good

Grade 3: Requires Improvement (previously judged Satisfactory)

Grade 4: Inadequate.

1.6 In order for Children's Centres to achieve a "Good" or "Outstanding" overall judgement Ofsted states that:

"The centre's practice consistently reflects the highest aspirations for all children and their families and in particular those in target groups and, as a result, inequalities are reducing rapidly."

"All three key judgements are outstanding. Exceptionally one of the key judgements may be good and rapidly improving and all other key aspects of the centre's work are good or outstanding. The centre's practice enables most targeted children and their families to access good-quality services that improve children's well-being and achieve the centre's stated outcomes. Other key aspects of the centre's work are likely to be at least good."

- 1.7 There are 17 Children's Centres in Coventry and of these, 3 are commissioned to the Private, Voluntary and Independent sector. 12 Centres have been inspected between 2010 and 2013, 10 have had single Inspections, there has been 2 group Inspections that include 6 Centres and 1 has not yet been inspected. Overall 4 Children's Centres were judged to be good (Barley Lea, Tile Hill, Canley and Hillfields) and the rest are judged as "requiring improvement" or "satisfactory". (Flutterbies, Tommies and Valley House Children Centres which are commissioned), Stoke Heath, Foleshill both Local Authority Centres, North East 2 Cluster (Bell Green, Moat House and Richard Lea Children's Centres) and North West cluster (St Augustine's, Radford and Spon Gate Children's Centres) which are also Local Authority.
- 1.8 Recommendations arising from any Inspection are integrated into the Centre Development Plan and the self evaluation form both of which are a statutory requirement and are robustly monitored by the Centre's Senior Management Team and Partnership Advisory Board on a quarterly basis
- 1.9 All Children's Centres have a duty to ensure that there is a Partnership Advisory Board in place. This Board advises and helps those responsible for running the Centre. It ensures the Centre is clear on parents' views and plays an active role in driving improvement in the Children's Centre's performance." Partnership Advisory Board members are involved in any Ofsted inspection of the Children's Centre.
- 1.10 Partnership Advisory Board members are responsible for offering support and challenge to each other (the agencies that they represent) and the Children's Centre Manager and their teams. The role of Partnership Board members is to hold each other and any external partners to account in regard to sharing information, contributing to improving outcomes for children and families, identifying key priorities and target groups based on data, experience and in meeting local need.
- 1.11 Partner agencies represented on Partnership Advisory Boards across the city include Schools, Health Visiting Service, Elected Members, Housing, Midwifery, Voluntary organisations, Training providers, Job Centre Plus.
- 1.12 Parents Forums provide opportunities for parents to either be a member of the Partnership Advisory Boards or for those parents who chose not to become members of the Board, their views are still valued and considered as part of its decision making processes.

2.0 Recommendations relating to Centres judged as "Requires Improvement" or "Satisfactory"

The recommendations in respect of the 8 Children's Centre's judged to as "Requiring Improvement" or "Satisfactory" have a number of common themes. Each Children's Centre will have a clear action plan (**See Appendices**) to address recommendations and actions resulting from the Inspection. There are however cross cutting themes such as access to data that are addressed across the Local Authority.

Common themes on recommendations from Inspections to date have been summarised below under the current Ofsted Grade Descriptors:

The Effectiveness of Leadership & Management

Local Authority:

- Development of strategic arrangements with partners in relation to service delivery.
- To secure the provision of a robust data set to be used by the Children's Centres to inform service delivery and impact.
- Effective use of data to be reflected in performance management and target setting.

Partnership Advisory Boards and Parents Forums:

- To clarify the roles and responsibilities of Partnership Advisory Board members in relation to accountability, for monitoring and evaluation of services measuring impact and effectiveness.
- To ensure that Centres use data effectively to influence service design and delivery.

- The Partnership Advisory Board to have representation and engagement from appropriate partner agencies.
- Centres to have in place appropriate representation from target groups providing opportunities for parents to influence the type and quality services offered.

Access to services by children young people and families

- Use of evidence including that from partner agencies to identify need and influence targeted service development and delivery
- Development of strategies to improve access and sustain engagement from identified target groups
- Development of integrated services delivered through Children's Centres or in local community venues

The Quality and Impact of practice

Systems for quality assurance to monitor evaluate and track impact of services delivered to children from targeted groups, in response to assessment of need. This includes those services provided by partner agencies.

2.1 Actions taken to address recommendations

- 2.1.1** The last Inspection of a Children's Centre in Coventry was over 12 months ago. In the period since the last inspection, there have been significant developments which will support improvement and go towards securing good outcomes for Children's Centres in any future Inspections. This has included strategic work on the access and analysis of data and a significant change in practice resulting in better integration and multi-agency working as a result of the Acting Early Programme. There has also been progress made in the development of new places and increased participation in early education.
- 2.1.2** In order to address how recommendations and actions with a focus on Children's Centres achieving good or better at their next Inspections, the following strategies are in place:

2.2 The Effectiveness of Leadership and Management

Local Authority:

- 2.2.1** The Early Help Offer is a key strategic priority for the Local Authority and is part of the improvement plan. The delivery of the Children's Centre Service will form part of this offer. A Head of Service for Early Help and Prevention has been appointed which will give a clear and greater focus on this area of work.
- 2.2.2** At both strategic and operational levels, effective partnership working principles continue to be developed and implemented, as part of the on-going progression of Coventry's Early Help Offer. An area of success is through the delivery of the Acting Early Programme (0-5years), where information sharing protocols are in place. Integrated workforce development and training activities have also been delivered.
- 2.2.3** The Local Authority has led on significant progression of the data set provided to Children's Centres. This is a continued focus for both the Local Authority Data Team and Children's Centres and includes support and training regarding data analysis, effective use of partner agency data to shape Children's Centre services and monitor impact on children's outcomes.
- 2.2.4** The Acting Early Programme (0-5 years) has led to the introduction of the consent to share information being routinely sought at the earliest opportunity which has potential to provide live birth data directly to Children's Centres.

2.2.5 As part of the performance management of Children's Centres, an Annual Performance Management review is carried out with all Children's Centres where progress of the action plan in response to Ofsted recommendations is reviewed along with the Children's Centre Self Evaluation Form (SEF) (which is where the Centre assesses its performance and makes judgements against the Ofsted framework).

2.2.6 Progress and Improvement are also robustly monitored by the Children's Centre Management Team and the Partnership Advisory Board.

2.2.7 Acting Early Programme

Senior Leaders across agencies, University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire (UHCW), Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership Trust (CWPT) and Local Authority have agreed and implemented successfully an integrated working model which focusses on improving the way that the needs of children and families are met. This has strong governance arrangements at both strategic and operational levels and is closely performance managed through Key Performance Indicators which also relate to the core offer of Children's Centres.

2.2.8 Early Education

The Local Authority are fully committed to the development and delivery through partners in the childcare sector, of the early learning for two year olds programme which is part of the Children's Centre offer. Significant progress has been made with good rates of participation for the most disadvantaged children. Officers have led on work with the sector to improve quality of provision, as a result of this work since the last Children's Centre inspection there are currently no "Inadequate" settings registered to take funded children.

2.2.9 Partnership Advisory Boards and Parents Forum

Membership of the Board and Parents Forum is regularly reviewed and recruitment and induction processes have been significantly improved resulting in practice to ensure that roles and responsibilities are well defined and membership is at an appropriate level. This is included in the Terms of Reference for the Board.

An induction and training package has been developed and implemented for all new members joining a Partnership Advisory Board. This is comprehensive and reflects principles and learning from the training and development programme offered to School Governors. It clarifies members' accountability and responsibilities.

The role of the Chairperson of the Partnership Advisory Board includes their involvement in the Local Authority Performance Management Review process for Children's Centres and Children's Centre Ofsted Inspections

Parents' Forums are in place and act as the formal process to link parents views to the Partnership Advisory Board as part of the governance arrangements. In addition, on-going work to engage with parents and provide opportunities for them to be involved at all levels has included focus groups, consultation (general and targeted, for example young parents) and attendance at community events to encourage participation.

2.3 Access to services by children, young people and families

Timetables of activities have been developed to deliver services which targets specific needs in relation to the community in which the Centre serves for example, English as a second language, young parents, child and family health. Parents/Carers are provided with details of activities and services available in their local area and signposted to the Family Information Service website where they can identify and access services.

Children's Centres undertake a termly large scale outreach activity, using the details provided by Department for Work and Pensions to target families whose children are eligible and entitled to access their 2 year old Early Education place and provide information on Children's Centre services and Early Learning opportunities. For families who aren't able to access services either within a community venue or within their local Children's Centres, targeted services are delivered within the family home, this includes some health services, family support, and services for children with disabilities.

Opportunities for parents to access support with parenting such as Triple P, Family Links and Living with Confidence intervention is offered through groups delivered in the Children's Centre, community venue or within the family home.

Significant work to develop effective partnership and integration is an on-going focus for the Local Authority at a Strategic Level and Children's Centres locally. This includes the development of the 6 Acting Early Programme sites has seen the early integration of Health partners (Health Visitors, Midwives and GP's) and Local Authority (Children's Centres, Children and Families First and Children's Social Care) coming together to share information and deliver effective and efficient services that avoid duplication and ensures swifter access to appropriate service for families. These are not yet fully embedded across all Children's Centres.

2.4 The Quality and Impact of Practice

Audit processes are in place to review the quality of assessment, recording appropriateness of intervention and the application of thresholds. The outcome of audits to date show that a high majority of cases were assessed as being "adequate" or "good" Service User consultations and satisfaction surveys are routinely used to review quality of services delivered by the Children's Centre.

Systems to gather the voice/ views of the child are embedded in practice.

Staff performance and delivery is managed effectively in line with corporate procedures for performance management of staff through supervision and appraisals are in place.

Key performance indicators which measure impact of integrated working are reflected in the Acting Early performance dashboard, these are monitored by the Acting Early Board on monthly basis and an external evaluation for this project is in progress.

Since the last inspection in 2013 systems have been developed to track long term outcomes for children who have accessed early education and children's centre services.

3.0 Actions identified at Cabinet Member meeting 2 February 2015 and progress

3.1 *That a joint letter be sent from him and the Interim Assistant Director, Children's Social Care, Targeted and Early Intervention Services, to NHS/Public Health partners seeking a commitment to health staff, including Health Visitors and midwives, completing the Involvement Form for all families with whom they are involved in order to significantly improve uptake of the Children's Centre offer*

Progress- Letter has been sent to senior managers in UHCW, CWPT and Public Health.

A meeting with Operational Managers from University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire (UHCW) for Midwifery Service and Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership Trust (CWPT) for Health Visiting has been requested by the Head of Service for Children's Centres (Local Authority) to discuss implementation of this process.

3.2 *That the Cabinet Member be sent a copy of all current data collection/ registration forms for his information*

Progress - The Data and Children's Centre leadership teams have introduced a new model which will pull together key data around numbers of children and families accessing an integrated early years offer, including services directly delivered by the Children's Centre teams and those delivered by partners.

A copy of the current registration/involvement form has been sent to the Cabinet Member, 27 March 2015.

3.3 *That in future, appropriate Team Managers are copied into email requests from Children's Centres to Social Workers to minimise drift/delays where there are changes to Social Worker staff*

Progress – Children's Centre Managers are now following this procedure. This has also been raised with Service Managers in Children's Social Care.

3.4 *That the Interim Assistant Director raise with her appropriate colleague responsible for Adult Education the issue of collecting data from Adult Education participants which includes information about provision of Adult Education courses to people who have children. This would then inform and provide evidence of the positive impact that attending courses has on families and children.*

Progress - A meeting with the Head of Service for Adult Education has been arranged for 16 April 2015, by the Head of Service for Children's Centres to address this.

3.5 *That in light of the difficulties outlined at the meeting arising from the practice of only using temporary contracts in the recruitment of Children's Centre staff, the Interim Assistant Director revisit this issue with appropriate officers, including Finance.*

Progress- A meeting to address this has been arranged with Head of Service, Service Manager for Children's Centres and Finance for 8 April 2015.

3.6 *That in preparation for future Ofsted inspections, those entire Children's Centres previously judged as "Requires Improvement" or "Satisfactory" are subject to a peer review/mock inspection within the next three months. The peer review should take into account that the main focus of inspections is data.*

Progress- A number of CVs have been sought and recommendations from partners in Regional Authorities who have undertaken similar work. A meeting has been arranged for 2 April 2015, to discuss our requirements in order to progress this work at the earliest opportunity.

4.0 Timetable for implementing this decision

The priority is to ensure that good progress to date is enhanced at both strategic and operational level to ensure that Children's Centres work towards gaining a "Good" or "Outstanding" outcome at their next Ofsted Inspection.

Comments from Executive Director, Resources

4.1 Financial Implications Revenue Funding

The Children's Centres have a net budget of £3.7M in 2015/16. Any work as a result of the recommendations in this report will be delivered within the existing resource available to the service.

4.2 Legal implications

The Council has a duty under the Childcare Act 2006: Section 5A for arrangements to be made to ensure that there are sufficient Children's Centres, so far as reasonably practicable, to meet local need. This section defines what a Sure Start Children's Centre is and what arrangements and services constitute a Children's Centre.

The Childcare Act 2006 further outlines the statutory function of Ofsted in respect of the inspection of Children's Centre and the duty to inspect at five yearly intervals. Following inspection the Act outlines the actions to be taken by the Local Authority including the preparation of an action plan.

5.0 Other implications

5.1 This work Contributes to:

"The core purpose relates directly to the wider duties local authorities have to improve the well-being of young children in their area and to reduce inequalities between young children in their area" (DfE 2013. Pg. 7)

The Statutory Duties relating to Children's Centres are being considered as part of the review and development of the Early Help Offer.

5.2 How is risk being managed?

The following may be risks for Children's Centres achieving an improved judgment at their next Inspection:

- Uncertainty around the future of Children's Centres could impact on staffing stability and difficulties around the recruitment and retention of staff as there are currently a number of temporary contracts in place
- Capacity of partners as increasing demand may result in reduction in capacity or willingness to become involved in the delivery of Children's Centre Services and its Governance arrangements.
- Staffing capacity to support engagement of parents from targeted groups on Parents Forums and to access services

The development of the Early Help Service will mean more clarity of the future staffing structures and Governance arrangements.

5.3 What is the impact on the organisation?

There are no Implications on the Organisation

5.4 Equalities / EIA

An EIA is not applicable for this report.

5.5 Implications for (or impact on) the environment

None

5.6 Implications for partner organisations?

None

Report authors:**Name and Job Title:**

Angela Harley
Service Manager Early Years & Parenting.

Name and Job Title:

Amanda Reynolds
Service Manager Early Years and Children's Centres

Directorate:

People

Tel and email contact:

Tel: 024 7683 1676

Email: angela.harley@coventry.gov.uk

Directorate:

People

Tel and email contact

Tel: 024 76831676

Email: amanda.reynolds@coventry.gov.uk

Enquiries should be directed to the above persons.

Contributor/approver name	Title	Directorate or organisation	Date sent out	Date response received or approved
Contributors:				
Yolanda Corden	Assistant Director	People	30/03/15	30/03/15
Jivan Sembi	Head of Service	People	30/03/15	01/04/15
Other members				
Suzanne Bennett	Governance Services Team Leader	Resources	30/03/15	30/03/15
Names of approvers for submission: (Officers and Members)				
Finance: Rachel Sugars	Finance Manager	Resources	31/03/15	01/04/15
Legal: Helen Lynch	Legal Services Manager (Place and Regulatory)	Resources	31/03/15	01/04/15
HR: Myran Larkin	Senior HR Advisor	Resources	31/03/15	01/04/15
Director: Brian Walsh	Executive Director	People	01/04/15	01/04/15
Members: Name				
Councillor Ruane	Cabinet Member for Children and Young People			

This report is published on the council's website:

www.coventry.gov.uk/councilmeetings



Public Report Cabinet Member Report

7 April 2015

Name of Cabinet Member:

Cabinet Member (Children and Young People) - Councillor E. Ruane

Director Approving Submission of the report

Executive Director of People Directorate

Ward(s) affected:

None

Title:

Adoption Score Card Report

Is this a key decision?

No

Executive Summary:

This report updates the Cabinet Member (Children and Young People) on the progress made in respect of the Council's performance in relation to the adoption score card as at February 2015 and the recruitment of adopters.

A key priority for the Council is to improve the timeliness of the decision making for children who require adoption as well as the recruitment and approval of adopters for Coventry children.

The recruitment and approval of adoptive families will be targeted at those who are able to meet the needs of older children and siblings from April 2015.

There has been an increase in the number of children adopted and since April 2013, there has been a significant narrowing of the gap between Coventry's performance with an average of 529 days and the national target (547 days) in achieving adoption for children.

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Children and Young People) is requested to:

- To note the improvement made in the timeliness of decision making for children requiring adoption and matching and placing of children with their adoptive families.
- Consider the recommendation made by the Scrutiny Board 2 on March 26th 2015 to target recruitment of adopters who are who are able to meet the needs of older children and siblings.

- To prioritise the recruitment and approval of adoptive families that are able to meet the needs of older children and siblings.
- A review of the adoption allowances
- Further work within the service to address the fall out of the adoption grant.

List of Appendices included:

None

Other useful background papers:

Has it been or will it be considered by Scrutiny?

Yes at the meeting of Scrutiny Board 2 held on March 26th 2015

Has it been or will it be considered by any other Council Committee, Advisory Panel or other body?

No

Will this report go to Council?

No

Report title: Adoption Score Card

1. Context

1.1 The Adoption Scorecard allows local authorities and other adoption agencies to monitor their own performance and compare it with that of others. Scorecards were introduced as part of a new approach to address delays in the adoption system. This approach was set out in by Central Government in March 2012 Action Plan Tackling Delay in Adoption.

1.2 The following table details the number of children adopted since 2010/11

2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
23	25	40	52	69

1.3 To date 69 children have been adopted as at the end of March 2015 and this exceeds the number of children adopted in all previous years. Projections for 2015/16 suggest that as a result of judicial challenges that this figure is unlikely to be exceeded in 2015/16.

1.4 Significant progress has been made in reducing delays to achieving permanency, in particular the time taken for decision making in respect of placement of children.

1.5 The Coventry current year average outcomes are now more in line with published data from other West Midland local authorities. The West Midlands figures are marked in an * in table below.

1.6 However this work on timelines will need to continue if we are to be more in line with our statistical neighbours. The latest figures available are marked with ** in the table below. As they are three year average they do not include the improved performance in 2014/15.

1.7 Coventry is not, however, meeting the Department for Education target set for 2012/15 but is now closer to the all England averages of 2011/13.

Adoption Scorecard February 2015	1 year average			3 year average				
	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15 YTD	2010/13	2011/14	2012/15 YTD	All England Average	DfE Target 2012/15
A1 - Average time between a child entering care and moving in with its adoptive family, for children who have been adopted (days)	821	610	529 *564	884	733 **633	635 *593	628	487
A2 - Average time between a local authority receiving court authority to place a child and the local authority deciding on a match to an adoptive family (days)	402	193	174 *196	332	269 **233	241 *184	217	121

A3 - Children who wait less than 20 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family and this changed to 18 months in 2014/15. (no. & %)	63 (46%)	124 (73.4%)	79 (60.3%)	75 (41%)	100 (43%) **49% -	218 (50%)	51%	No Target Specified
---	-------------	----------------	---------------	-------------	----------------------------	--------------	-----	---------------------

The West Midlands figures are marked with an *

The Statistical Neighbours latest figures are marked with **

A1 – The one year average projected time between these children coming into care and being placed for adoption (A1) is **529** days and this has improved by an average of 81 days since March 2014, but falls short of the Department of Education target of **487** by 72 days.

A2 - The one year average projected time between a child becoming subject to a placement order and being matched with their adopters (A2) is currently **174** days and falls short of the DfE target by 53 days.

This is due to some court legacy cases as well as adoptions of 3 hard to place children aged between 6 -10 years who have taken between 848 days and 1245 days to be placed with their adopted parents.

1.8 This improvement has been achieved by:

- Prioritising pre-Proceedings case work
- Ensuring the 26 week court time table is achieved as far as possible in adoption cases, but bearing in mind that some delays are also attributable to the Courts.
- Monthly tracking of all pre-Proceedings and Court cases.
- Established escalation process for cases at risk of not meeting timescales.
- Learning identified and applied in respect to cases which have not complied with timescales.
- Embedded parallel planning processes.
- Use of concurrent planning and foster to adopt options.

1.9 Adopter Recruitment data – number of approvals

The following table shows the number of adopter approvals.

2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15 up until 13.03.15
27	33	28	50	37

1.10 Although there is fewer adopters approved in 2014/15 than in the previous year the service is confident that the number of approved adopters will meet the anticipated need for children requiring adoption.

1.11 However to ensure that approved adopters are not waiting over 6 months for a match the Service will need to focus its recruitment activities in securing adopters that can meet the needs of older children and sibling groups. These children are more likely to wait for a match.

- 1.12 Location of the adopters waiting has been considered carefully to ensure that recruiting adopters from within the City does not contribute to delays in matching children with adopters. There are 24 adopters who have been waiting for more than 3 months without a child matched and only one of these are resident in the City.

2. Options considered and recommended proposal

- 2.1 To note the improvement made in the timeliness of decision making for children requiring adoption and matching and placing of children with their adoptive families.
- 2.2 To prioritise the recruitment and approval of adoptive families who are able to meet the needs of older children and siblings.
- 2.3 A review of the adoption allowances.
- 2.4 Further work within the service to address the fall out of the adoption grant.

3. Results of consultation undertaken

Adoption Leadership Board has requested Local Authorities to consider the measures to improve the timeliness of matching children to prospective adopters as there is a growing concern that nationally this time taken for this decision to be made has increased. However Coventry has followed this trend and in fact has recorded significant improvements.

4. Timetable for implementing this decision

On going

5. Comments from Executive Director, Resources

5.1 Financial implications:

In 2014/15 there is a net budget of £2.5M for Adoption Services (this includes 50% of the Family Placement Team costs). The forecast at Quarter 3 across Adoption Services was just under £2.7M. The overspend was reported as part of the People Directorate's Quarter 3 position. Further detail on specific areas within Adoption Services is included below.

Adoption Improvement grant (AIG) 2014/15

Coventry received £321K for 2014/15 as part of the Government's drive to address the backlog of children awaiting adopters as well as supporting wider improvements in adoption support services. This grant has been utilised to ensure appropriate resources are available to meet the demand for adopters, developing new innovative ways of finding adoptive families improving support and reducing assessment times and developing capacity within the adoption teams. At Quarter 3 this was forecast to be fully utilised.

5.1.3 Adoption Support Budget 2014/15 – financial support for adopters

At Quarter 3, forecast spend is £870K against a budget of £721K. This overspend is due to an increase in number of adoptions, and an increase in the average cost of each allowance.

5.1.4 Inter-Agency budget

At Quarter 3 the forecast spend on the budget to fund adoption placements from other providers or local authorities to meet the specific needs of the children is £246K. This is approximately £20K underspent. Whilst most children have been placed with Coventry approved adopters.

There has been robust profiling of children through a range of medians including advertising where internal adopters are not available.

The service has also generated income from the placement of children by other local authorities with Coventry adopters. At Quarter 3 we were forecasting £148K of income from selling placements to other providers and local authorities.

5.2 Legal implications:

No implications identified

Other implications

6.1 How will this contribute to achievement of the Council's key objectives / corporate priorities (corporate plan/scorecard) / organisational blueprint / Local Area Agreement (or Coventry Sustainable Community Strategy)?

It supports a key role that the Local Authority plays as a Corporate Parent, of securing appropriate family placements for children, as an effective means of giving them the best life chances possible. Securing timely permanency for looked after children is key priority of the Local Authority and the Improvement Plan.

6.2 How is risk being managed?

The monitoring of timeliness of children requiring adoption is subject to close monthly tracking and oversight of dedicated case progression officer.

6.3 What is the impact on the organisation?

The Service contributes to Children's Social Care's performance against adoption performance which is closely scrutinised both internally and externally on an on-going basis by the Department of Education and the Improvement Board.

6.4 Equalities / EIA

This is not a policy change

6.5 Implications for (or impact on) the environment

None

6.6 Implications for partner organisations?

None

Name and job title: Interim Service Manager, Liz Gosling
Directorate: People
Tel and email contact: 02476833458 lizgosling@coventry.gov.uk

Enquiries should be directed to the above person.

This report is published on the council's website:
www.coventry.gov.uk/councilmeetings

Contributor/approver name	Title	Directorate or organisation	Date sent out	Date response received or approved
Contributors:				
Yolanda Corden	Assistant Director	People	30/03/15	30/03/15
Jivan Sembi	Head of Service	People	30/03/15	01/04/15
Other members				
Suzanne Bennett	Governance Services Team Leader	Resources		
Names of approvers for submission: (Officers and Members)				
Rachael Sugars	Finance Manager	Resources	02/04/15	02/04/15
Julie Newman	Legal Services	Resources	02/04/15	02/04/15
Myran Larkin	Senior HR Advisor	Resources	02/04/15	02/04/15
Brian Walsh	Executive Director	People	02/04/15	02/04/15
Members:				
Councillor Ruane	Cabinet Member for Children and Young People			

